(1) (4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

Single Bench

W.P. NO. 382 /2006

PETITIONER : Janak Deo Mishra
S/o. Late D.R. Mishra
Aged about 65 years,
Occupation- Retired Projector
Operator, resident of Arvind
Nagar Ward No.45, Bandhwapara,
Behind-Indira Vihar, Sarkanda,
Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 950/06
Presented by Shri Vivek Sharma
dated 21/2/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : Secretary
Department of Veterinary Services
D.K.S. Building, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Director
Veterinary Services
D.K.S. Building, Raipur (C.G.)
3. Join Director
Accounts Treasury and Pension
Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. Join Director
Veterinary Services, Bilaspur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
21/2/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

39

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... WP 882/06 ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

2-3-2006

Shri Sudhir Verma, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri A.S. Kachhawaha, Govt. Advocate for the State.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed in the Veterinary Department on the post of Projector Operator on 4-2-1967 and was retired in April, 2003. Learned counsel submits that thereafter the petitioner received recovery notice dated 24-1-2004 (Annexure-P/10) for excess payment of Rs.1668/-. He also submits that the petitioner deposited the said amount, but the grievance is that the petitioner has not been given proper increment of Rs.150/- whereas he has been given only Rs.100/-.

Learned counsel for the State pointed out that neither the notice for demand of justice nor any representation submitted by the petitioner before the authorities and straight away approached this Court.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be permitted to make representation before the competent authority of respondents.

The prayer is not opposed by the State counsel.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of respondents alongwith a copy of the petition and this order within

(पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... W.P. 882/06 ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

a period of one month from today and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of representation. it is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

(पीछे देखिये